MARKET OPPORTUNITIES FOR USER SITE HARDWARE SERVICES FROM REMOTE COMPUTING SERVICES COMPANIES

VOLUME I

CUSTOM REPORT

Prepared For:

GENERAL ELECTRIC INFORMATION SERVICES COMPANY

INPUT LIBRARY

MARCH 1980

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

MARKET OPPORTUNITIES FOR
USER SITE HARDWARE SERVICES FROM
REMOTE COMPUTING SERVICES COMPANIES

VOLUME I

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
I	INTRODUCTION	1
	A. Purpose And Scope	1
	B. Research And Methodology	2
II	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
	A. Market Forecast	5
	B. Market Strategy And Market Penetration	8
	1. Market Strategy	8
	2. Market Penetration	12
	3. Conclusions	13
	C. Buying Process	15
	D. Recommendations	17

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

MARKET OPPORTUNITIES FOR
USER SITE HARDWARE SERVICES FROM
REMOTE COMPUTING SERVICES COMPANIES

VOLUME I

LIST OF EXHIBITS

			Page
II	-1	User Site Hardware Services Market In The United States	6
	-2	Key Areas Of Agreement Among EDP Managers And End Users For Buying USHS	9
	-3	Reasons For Buying USHS As Rated Separately By End Users And EDP Managers	11

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

1 INTRODUCTION

I INTRODUCTION

A. PURPOSE AND SCOPE

- The primary objectives of this study of the market for user site hardware services (USHS) from remote computing services companies were to:
  - Determine the market for information processors at customer sites from RCS companies.
  - Estimate market penetration based on alternative marketing strategies, including the approaches of ADP Network Services, Inc., National CSS, Inc., and GEIS Company.
  - Analyze the sales and buying processes for the products.
  - Make recommendations for both market entry and expansion.
- Following on the earlier work presented in INPUT's report, "Opportunities in User Site Hardware Services," INPUT conducted an in-depth analysis among vendors, EDP managers, financial executives, and end users concerning attitudes toward, and plans for, RCS vendor-supplied user site hardware services.
- Each client was contacted for their special concerns.

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

- Issues that are client specific are addressed here in Volume I.

- Concerns common to all participating clients are included in the general research reported in Volume II.

B. RESEARCH AND METHODOLOGY

- The research conducted in this report primarily addresses RCS vendor offerings termed user site hardware services (USHS) which:

  - Place intelligent hardware (i.e., terminals, microcomputers, minicomputers) at the user's site, or at the vendor's site dedicated to the user's use.

  - Offer user access to the RCS vendor's communications network.

  - Offer user access, through the vendor's RCS networks, to the RCS vendor's mainframes or to other RCS vendor intelligent hardware supplied to the user.

  - Offer significant vendor-supplied software for execution on vendor-supplied intelligent hardware.

- User site hardware services (USHS) are viewed as an alternative delivery method of remote computing services (RCS). As such, USHS both impacts and expands that marketplace.

  - Impacts by replacing vendor remote delivery services revenues.

  - Expands by replacing in-house timesharing and by offering new services to additional USHS users.

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

- The study focused on the USHS approaches and offerings of three current vendors:
  - ADP Network Services, Inc. ONSITE system.
  - National CSS, NCSS 3200 series system.
  - GEIS Company MARKLINK distributed system.
- The planned research for this study consisted of a set of questionnaires developed by INPUT in close coordination with participating clients, used for both telephone and on-site interviews.
- Interviews were conducted during the fourth quarter of 1979.
- The interview sample of 99 companies provided three types of respondents:
  - End users (72).
  - EDP managers (59).
  - Financial executives (21).
- The research contrasted differences among respondents in approach toward, and involvement in, the decision process for USHS.

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

II EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

II EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A. MARKET FORECAST

- There is a significant market opportunity for user site hardware services offerings from computer services companies now in remote computing services (RCS) markets.

  - INPUT forecasts the total USHS market in 1984 to be $1 billion (Exhibit II-1), which is 13% of the forecasted RCS market.

  - The utility processing services portion of the USHS market, the focus of this study, is forecast to be $300 million by 1984, which is 15% of the forecasted utility services market.

    . Utility services is a new USHS market and is typified by the offerings of National CSS, Inc., ADP Network Services, Inc., and GEIS Company.

    . This market is vulnerable to competition from minicomputer vendors and RCS companies.

  - The industry specialty services portion of the USHS market is forecast to be $600 million by 1984.

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

EXHIBIT II-1

USER SITE HARDWARE SERVICES
MARKET IN THE UNITED STATES



© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

- Industry specialty services, a more developed market with many active vendors, is less vulnerable to competition from minicomputer vendors.

- Utility services and industry specialty services together are expected by INPUT to represent 90% of the USHS market in 1984.

- The USHS market is driven by cost, which makes conversion of RCS services to in-house systems increasingly attractive to users.

  - This is due, in large measure, to continuing reduction in both hardware computational and data storage costs.

  - However, users' inability to track and put a budgetary ceiling on RCS costs are also very important factors.

- User site hardware services, part of the evolving distributed data processing market, represent a significant new delivery system alternative to traditional remote computing services.

  - Market potential for USHS vendors is excellent. USHS offers low cost entry into the distributed data processing market, a market now primarily in-house.

  - Other significant advantages offered by a USHS market strategy include:

    - A potential method of getting a portion of in-house timesharing expenditures.

    - Evolving specialized services utilizing proprietary software systems integrated with network services.

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

- Providing entry into the small user area where EDP expenditures are less than $2,000 per month.

B. MARKET STRATEGY AND MARKET PENETRATION

1. MARKET STRATEGY

- Key reasons for buying USHS (as shown in Exhibit II-2) should be reflected in the formation of vendor marketing strategy.
  - End users and EDP managers, both essential to buying USHS, should both be included in USHS marketing plans.
  - In some instances, both agree as to the most important reasons for buying USHS, as in the case of Exhibit II-2, where the combined ratings of both end users and EDP managers are complementary.
  - Cost effectiveness is the most compelling reason for replacing RCS with USHS.
    - USHS conversions of RCS achieved cost savings averaging 40%, ranging to 60%, among existing users in this study.
    - RCS costs are regarded by users as being too high. They are also regarded as being unpredictable, making budgeting difficult.
- However, EDP managers, protecting their empires, did not feel that USHS could be as cost effective for in-house timesharing as present mainframes.

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

EXHIBIT II-2

KEY AREAS OF AGREEMENT AMONG
EDP MANAGERS AND END USERS FOR BUYING USHS



NUMBER OF END USER RESPONDENTS = 61
NUMBER OF EDP MANAGER RESPONDENTS = 56
NOTE: RATED ON A SCALE WHERE 1 = LEAST IMPORTANT AND 5 = MOST IMPORTANT

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

- The prevalent reason for rejecting USHS found in the study was EDP and corporate management reasoning that USHS was less cost effective than incrementally adding to mainframe capacity to provide time-sharing in-house.

- The prevalent attitude of EDP managers highlights the need to coordinate the marketing of USHS to EDP managers, as well as to end users.

- End users and EDP managers agreed on the importance of vendor proprietary software for USHS.

- Network capability to support multiple remote points was rated highly by both end users and EDP managers.

  . Initially, the network was not regarded as essential by some users, but communications capability was recognized as being essential in future years.

- In other instances, end users and EDP managers rated major reasons for buying USHS very differently, reflecting their contrasting interests and responsibilities, as shown in Exhibit II-3.

  - End users feel that EDP departments are unable to provide internal services equivalent to USHS.

    . Applications development backlogs are averaging 20 months and growing larger.

  - End users want to improve turnaround by having a USHS capability for doing applications development at users' sites.

  - EDP managers gave more priority than did end users to reliability/backup and to maintenance/support.

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

EXHIBIT II-3

REASONS FOR BUYING USHS AS
RATED SEPARATELY BY END USERS AND EDP MANAGERS



NOTE: RATED ON A SCALE WHERE 1 = LEAST IMPORTANT AND 5 = MOST IMPORTANT

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

- Other reasons for buying USHS that received low ratings from both end users and EDP managers were:

  - Offloading the in-house mainframe.

  - Consolidating outside RCS timesharing contracts.

  - Providing access to remote data bases.

2. MARKET PENETRATION

- National CSS, Inc. market strategy is to sell the IBM-compatible NCSS 3200 series initially as a standalone processor, integrated with NCSS operating software, and optional integration with the NCSS network, using the network for distributed data processing, backup mainframe processing and maintenance.

  - The 3200 is offered for purchase or third party lease.

  - Initial emphasis is to install the units on a standalone basis, with a later effort to tie them to the network after the 3200s have met with customer acceptance.

- INPUT estimates that at year end 1979, NCSS had sold twenty-six 3200 series systems.

  - Including maintenance and network charges, INPUT estimates the total revenues from these sales to be $7.5 million.

  - NCSS revenues from USHS deliveries in 1979 were $5.7 million.

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

- ADP Network Services, Inc. strategy is to lease the ONSITE system, integrated with ADP operating software, and with the ADP network, using the network for data communications, backup mainframe processing and maintenance.

  - INPUT estimates that at year end 1979, ADP had installed 29 ONSITE systems.

  - The ongoing lease base from these installations is estimated to be $6 million per year.

  - INPUT estimates ADP 1979 revenues for USHS to be $3.5 million.

- GEIS Company MARKLINK distributed system is offered for lease or purchase on an unbundled basis. Intelligent terminals are integrated with GEISCO operating software, the MARK III network, and GEISCO clustered computer centers. The network is used for data communications, processing and maintenance.

  - MARKLINK was introduced late in 1978; the product expanded late in 1979, with marketing efforts now being intensified.

  - The first MARKLINK installation is an 800 terminal, national inventory control system for the GE Supply Company. The system is now partially operational.

  - MARKLINK, if successful in the commercial marketplace, will address a larger potential marketthan that addressed by NCSS or ADP. It is too early to predict market penetration.

3. CONCLUSIONS

- ADP appears to be increasingly successful in consolidating other RCS vendor revenues with the ONSITE user site hardware services.

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

- Among the seven ADP ONSITE users interviewed in this study, six included consolidation of revenues.

- For these six users, ADP took over services previously provided by the following RCS vendors:

  . Tymshare.

  . Service Bureau Corporation.

  . National CSS.

  . GEISCO.

  . Informatics.

  . Computer Sciences Corporation.

  . Compuserve.

  . Rapidata.

- The National CSS 3200 is being sold to clients who were previously non-users of RCS, as well as to current RCS clients.

  - The 3200 is being accepted as a standalone computer.

    . The DBMS, NOMAD is an important feature.

    . The product seems well suited for future DDP.

- Users felt that the in-house mainframe was more cost effective for in-house timesharing than USHS.

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

- In one case, a group of users, considering using the NCSS 3200 to offload the in-house mainframe, were particularly attracted to the 3200 because of NCSS software.

  . The users' final decision, in concert with the EDP manager, was to upgrade the mainframe because it was felt to be more cost effective.

- The conclusion from a previous INPUT custom study for GEISCO, "Timesharing Services Losses to In-House Computers," January 1976, was that internal timesharing costs were perceived to be 50% of external timesharing costs.

  . Users feel that cost reduction offered by USHS still does not offset their perceived cost of in-house timesharing.

C. BUYING PROCESS

- The process for procuring computer equipment and services involves an interaction among the end user, EDP manager, financial management, and top management, with different levels of involvement during the procurement process.

  - Identifying need and establishing justification is a joint effort by end users and EDP managers, with occasional contribution by corporate financial officers.

  - Vendor selection is controlled by the EDP manager. End users have an involvement in the selection, but not the responsibility.

  - Vendor approval involves both the chief financial officer and top management, acting on the recommendations of the EDP manager.

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

- Final approval of the procurement is generally reserved for corporate management, with recommendations from the chief financial officer.

- The trend in computer equipment and services procurement is toward increased centralization, with corporate management increasing control of the final decision.

- The procurement process is taking an average of five months (ranging up to 24 months) from initiation to final decision for systems over $100,000.

  - The process is taking longer and growing more complex as purchases are more closely scrutinized by management.

- End users have little authority to procure computer equipment or services on their own.

  - End users and EDP managers are working together in this regard, with the EDP manager generally controlling the procurement.

  - End users are developing greater sophistication in data processing as equipment is installed at the user's site. However, users are not presently separating themselves from EDP managers.

- INPUT expects, as time goes on, that end users will have a significantly greater voice in placing intelligence on-site for applications development and operation.

  - EDP managers, in a cooperative effort with end users, will (for purposes of standardization, compatibility, reliability, and maintenance) retain control over hardware and system support software procurement.

- Users have a decided preference for unbundled pricing, but have little preference for purchase versus lease pricing.

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

- End users and EDP managers have minimal knowledge of the USHS concept or of current vendors' USHS offerings.

  - Their knowledge of, and attitude toward, USHS can be greatly improved by marketing efforts of USHS vendors.

  - The rate of USHS market expansion will be a direct reflection of increased vendor product offerings both in the utility and specialty processing services segments of the RCS marketplace.

D. RECOMMENDATIONS

- In view of a $1 billion USHS market forecasted for 1984, INPUT recommends that GEISCO expand effort in marketing MARKLINK as user site hardware.

  - Although there are only three RCS vendors presently in the utility processing services segment of the USHS market, indications are that vendors such as CSC, SBC, and XDS, will enter the market in 1980-1981.

- MARKLINK is clearly differentiated from other USHS offerings examined in this study, and this should be stressed in GEISCO marketing strategies.

  - INPUT believes that MARKLINK, as a low cost entry into distributed data processing, has a large potential for capturing new markets.

  - This differs from the present ADP and NCSS offerings, which are defensive measures to hold market share in face of competition from minicomputer vendors.

- Because of its size, and the time and expense invested in its development, INPUT believes that the General Electric Supply Company system is atypical.

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

- Future marketing efforts should be directed toward smaller users as well.

- INPUT believes that MARKLINK marketing efforts should both:

  - Continue to focus on order entry for distribution industries, offering proprietary order entry software.

  - Target other market sectors where customer needs are for transaction processing at multiple locations.

- Market opportunities are enhanced by offering MARKLINK to both the utility and industry specialty services segments of the RCS market.

- GEISCO marketing efforts should be coordinated with end users, EDP managers, and corporate management.

  - EDP managers are working closely with end users, assisting them in developing more sophistication in data processing and allowing greater user involvement in vendor selection.

  - When it comes to selecting the vendor and controlling the procurement, the EDP manager is still in charge.

  - Final procurement approval comes from corporate management.

© 1980 by INPUT, Palo Alto, CA 94303. Reproduction Prohibited.

ABOUT INPUT

THE COMPANY

INPUT provides planning information, analysis, and recommendations to managers and executives in the information processing industries. Through market research, technology forecasting, and competitive analysis, INPUT supports client management in making informed decisions. Continuing services are provided to users and vendors of computers, communications, and office products and services.

The company carries out continuous and in-depth research. Working closely with clients on important issues, INPUT's staff members analyze and interpret the research data, then develop recommendations and innovative ideas to meet clients' needs. Clients receive reports, presentations, access to data on which analyses are based, and continuous consulting.

Many of INPUT's professional staff members have nearly 20 years experience in their areas of specialization. Most have held senior management positions in operations, marketing, or planning. This expertise enables INPUT to supply practical solutions to complex business problems.

Formed in 1974, INPUT has become a leading international consulting firm. Clients include over 100 of the world's largest and most technically advanced companies.

UNITED STATES, West Coast
2471 East Bayshore Road
Suite 600
Palo Alto, California 94303
(415) 493-1600
Telex 171407

UNITED STATES, East Coast
Park 80 Plaza West-1
Saddle Brook, New Jersey 07662
(201) 368-9471

UNITED KINGDOM
INPUT Europe
Airwork House (4th Floor)
35 Piccadilly
London, W.1.
England
01-734-2156
Telex 269776

AUSTRALIA
Infocom Australia
Highland Centre, 7-9 Merriwa Street
P.O. Box 110, Gordon N.S.W. 2072
(02) 498-8199
Telex AA 24434

ITALY
PGP Sistema SRL
20127 Milano
Via Soperga 36
Italy
Milan 284-2850

JAPAN
Overseas Data Service Company, Ltd.
Shugetsu Building, No. 12-7 Kita Aoyama
3-Chome Minato-Ku
Tokyo, 107
Japan
(03) 400-7090